Towards Machine Comprehension of Spoken Content



#### Hung-yi Lee



National Taiwan University

# Machine Comprehension of Spoken Content



300 hrs multimedia is uploaded per minute. (2015.01)



More than 2000 courses on Coursera

- $\succ$  Nobody is able to go through the data.
- In these multimedia, the spoken part carries very important information about the content.
- We need machine to listen to the audio data, understand it, and extract useful information for humans.



## Deep Learning in One Slide



## Speech Recognition



## Typical Deep Learning Approach

• The hierarchical structure of human languages what do you think

**Phoneme:** 

hh w aa t d uw y uw th ih ng k <u>Tri-phone:</u> ..... t-d+uw d-uw+y uw-y+uw y-uw+th ..... t-d+uw1 t-d+uw2 t-d+uw3 d-uw+y1 d-uw+y2 d-uw+y3 <u>State:</u>

## Typical Deep Learning Approach

- The first stage of speech recognition
  - Classification: input  $\rightarrow$  acoustic feature, output  $\rightarrow$  state

Determine the state each acoustic feature belongs to



## Typical Deep Learning Approach



	-			
		VGG Net (85M Parameters)	Residual-Net (38M Parameters)	LACE (65M Parameters)
Verv I	Deep	14 weight layers	49 weight layers	22 weight layers
vCryi		40x41 input	40x41 input	40x61 input
		3 – conv 3x3, 96	3 – [conv 1x1, 64 conv 3x3, 64 conv 1x1, 256]	5 – conv 3x3, 128
		Max pool	4 – [conv 1x1, 128 conv 3x3, 128 conv 1x1, 512]	5 – conv 3x3, 256
		4 – conv 3x3, 192	6 – [conv 1x1, 256 conv 3x3, 256 conv 1x1, 1024]	5 – conv 3x3, 512
		Max pool	3 – [conv 1x1, 512 conv 3x3, 512 conv 1x1, 2048]	5 – conv 3x3, 1024
		4 – conv 3x3, 384	Average pool	1 – conv 3x4, 1
	MSR	Max pool	Softmax (9000)	Softmax (9000)
		2-FC-4096		
		Softmax (9000)		

## Human Parity!

- 微軟語音辨識技術突破重大田程碑・對手幹: # 1 法 人 類水準!(2016.10)
   Machine 5.9% v.s. Human 5.9%
  - https://www.bnext.com.tw/article/41414/bn-2016-10-19-020437-216
  - Dong Yu, Wayne Xiong, Jasha Droppo, Andreas Stolcke, Guoli Ye, Jinyu Li, Geoffrey Zweig, "Deep Convolutional Neural Networks with Layer-wise Context Expansion and Attention", Interspeech 2016
- IBM vs Microsoft: 'Human parity' speech recognition record changes hands again (2017.0: Machine 5.5% v.s. Human 5.1%)
  - http://www.zdnet.com/article/ibm-vs-microsoft-human-parityspeech-recognition-record-changes-hands-again/
  - George Saon, Gakuto Kurata, Tom Sercu, Kartik Audhkhasi, Samuel Thomas, Dimitrios Dimitriadis, Xiaodong Cui, Bhuvana Ramabhadran, Michael Picheny, Lynn-Li Lim, Bergul Roomi, Phil Hall, "English Conversational Telephone Speech Recognition by Humans and Machines", arXiv preprint, 2017

#### End-to-end Approach - Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC)

• Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [Alex Graves, ICML'06][Alex Graves, ICML'14][Haşim Sak, Interspeech'15][Jie Li, Interspeech'15][Andrew Senior, ASRU'15]



#### End-to-end Approach - Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC)

• Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [Alex Graves, ICML'06][Alex Graves, ICML'14][Haşim Sak, Interspeech'15][Jie Li, Interspeech'15][Andrew Senior, ASRU'15]



## Proposed Approaches

(a) use DNN phone posterior as acoustic vector

- DNN + structured SVM
  - [Meng & Lee, ICASSP 10]
- DNN + structured DNN
  - [Liao & Lee, ASRU 15]
- Neural Turing Machine
  - [Ko & Lee, ICASSP 17]







## 1-of-N encoding

#### How to represent each word as a vector?

**1-of-N Encoding**lexicon = {apple, bag, cat, dog, elephant}The vector is lexicon size. $apple = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Each dimension corresponds $bag = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to a word in the lexicon $cat = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ The dimension for the word $dog = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is 1, and others are 0elephant = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}

## Word Embedding

 Machine learns the meaning of words from reading a lot of documents without supervision



## Word Embedding

- Machine learns the meaning of words from reading a lot of documents without supervision
- A word can be understood by its context



#### **Prediction-based**



#### **Prediction-based**

You shall know a word by the company it keeps



## Word Embedding



Source: http://www.slideshare.net/hustwj/cikm-keynotenov2014

## Word Embedding

• Characteristics  $\approx V(Berlin) - V(Rome) + V(Italy)$ 

 $V(hotter) - V(hot) \approx V(bigger) - V(big)$  $V(Rome) - V(Italy) \approx V(Berlin) - V(Germany)$  $V(king) - V(queen) \approx V(uncle) - V(aunt)$ 

V(Germany)

Solving analogies

Rome : Italy = Berlin : ?

Compute V(Berlin) - V(Rome) + V(Italy)

Find the word w with the closest V(w)

#### Demo

• Machine learn the meaning of words from reading a lot of documents without supervision







## Sentiment Analysis

#### Sentiment Analysis



#### Recurrent Neural Network

Recurrent Structure: usually used when the *input is a* sequence

No matter how long the input sequence is, we only need one function f









#### Demo

#### Summarization



## Summarization

#### **Extractive Summaries**

[Lee, et al., Interspeech 12][Lee, et al., ICASSP 13][Shiang, et al., Interspeech 13]

Audio File to be summarized



- Select the most informative segments to form a compact version
- Machine does not write summaries in its own words

## Abstractive Summarization

- Now machine can do abstractive summary (write summaries in its own words)
  - <u>Title generation</u>: abstractive summary with one sentence



 Sequence to sequence learning: Both input and output are both sequences with different lengths.





- Both input and output are both sequences <u>with different</u>
  <u>lengths</u>. → <u>Sequence to sequence learning</u>
  - E.g. Machine Translation (machine learning→機器學習)



- Both input and output are both sequences <u>with different</u>
  <u>lengths</u>. → <u>Sequence to sequence learning</u>
  - E.g. Machine Translation (machine learning→機器學習)





接龍推文是ptt在推文中的一種趣味玩法,與推齊有些類似但又有所不同, 是指在推文中接續上一樓的字句,而推出連續的意思。該類玩法確切起 源已不可知(鄉民百科)

- Both input and output are both sequences <u>with different</u>
  <u>lengths</u>. → <u>Sequence to sequence learning</u>
  - E.g. Machine Translation (machine learning→機器學習)


### Summarization

• Input: transcriptions of audio, output: title



### Summarization

### 據印度報業托拉斯報道印度北方邦22 Document: 日發生一起小公共汽車炸彈爆炸事件造成 15 人死亡 3 人受傷 ……

Human: 印度汽車炸彈爆炸造成15人死亡 Machine: 印度發生汽車爆炸事件

刑事局偵四隊今天破獲一個中日跨國竊車 Document: 集團,根據調查國內今年七月開放重型機 車上路後.....

Human:跨國竊車銷贓情形猖獗直得國內警方注意 Machine:刑事局破獲中國車集



Table 1: Performance comparison of various models and input sequence elements over Chinese Gigaword (no ASR errors).

	Model		Input	Output	k	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-3	ROUGE-L
	525	(a)	word	character	1	34.47	18.30	8.82	31.26
Deseline	Seq2Seq	(b)	character	character	1	36.33	18.58	8.78	32.39
Базеппе	Attentive Seq2Seq	(c)	word	character	1	36.37	20.23	10.23	32.98
		(d)	character	character	1	37.97	20.47	10.27	33.88
	•	(e)	word	character	1	25.36	9.20	3.43	24.49
Proposed: CTC		(f)	word	character	2	33.58	15.70	7.34	32.20
		(g)	character	character	1	42.71	24.62	14.24	40.56

### Experiments

- Training data: Chinese Gigaword
  - Text documents
  - 2M story-headline pairs
- Testing data: TV News
  - <u>Spoken documents</u>
  - 50 hours (1k spoken documents)
  - Character Error Rate = 28.7% (our system), 36.5% (wit.ai)
- Input and output of the model are both Chinese characters

	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L
Manual (Oracle)	26.8	6.5	23.9
ASR	21.3	4.8	20.0

### Pseudo ASR error

- Adding pseudo ASR error into training data
  - Analyze the error patterns of ASR system
  - Changing some characters in training text documents by probability

Training Data	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	
Text	21.3	4.8	20.0	
Text + pseudo error	20.9	3.4	19.1	

(Testing spoken documents have ASR errors)

- Even worse after adding pseudo error
- The model learns to correct the ASR error in input document, which is difficult and causes over-fitting

### Learn to Ignore ASR Errors



	Ch			ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L
	Text BSL		Seq-2-seq	21.87	4.93	20.52
Text			w/ att.	21.32	4.84	20.05
			Seq-2-seq	19.50	3.57	18.50
	Character	naï	w/ att.	20.86	3.40	19.09
		Р	roposed	22.89	5.01	20.86
	Phoneme		Seq-2-seq	19.32	3.13	17.79
Consider-ing		naï	w/ att.	19.46	3.25	18.06
ASR		Р	roposed	24.01	5.16	22.13
	Initial/Final	Naï	Seq-2-seq	19.87	3.36	17.42
Error			w/ att.	20.41	3.24	18.60
		Proposed		24.56	5.73	22.41
		Naï	Seq-2-seq	19.37	2.72	17.34
	Syllable		w/ att.	19.64	2.71	17.49
		Proposed		22.62	4.46	20.60
	Oracle		Seq-2-seq	26.60	5.68	23.70
Text			w/ att.	26.75	6.54	23.91

### Key Term Extraction



### Key Term Extraction

• Input is a vector sequence, but output is only one vector



### Speech Question Answering



### Speech Question Answering



**Speech Question Answering**: Machine answers questions based on the information in spoken content

### New task for Machine Comprehension of Spoken Content

• TOEFL Listening Comprehension Test by Machine

Audio Story: (The original story is 5 min long.)Question: "What is a possible origin of Venus' clouds?"Choices:

(A) gases released as a result of volcanic activity

(B) chemical reactions caused by high surface temperatures

(C) bursts of radio energy from the plane's surface

(D) strong winds that blow dust into the atmosphere

### New task for Machine Comprehension of Spoken Content

• TOEFL Listening Comprehension Test by Machine



Using previous exams to train the network

## Model Architecture

# The whole model learned end-to-end.



### Model Details





### Sentence Representation



Attention on all phrases

### **Experimental Results**

- Example Naïve approach: 50
  - Find the paragraph containing most key terms in 1.
- the question. 45

40

2. Select the choice containing most key terms in





### **Experimental Results**



### Analysis

#### **Type 3: Connecting Information**

- Understanding Organization
- Connecting Content
- Making Inferences
- There are three types of questions



#### **Type 3: Pragmatic Understanding**

## Analysis

Understanding the *Function of What Is Said* Understanding the *Speaker's Attitude*

• There are three types of questions



### Spoken Content Retrieval



### Spoken Content Retrieval

- 3 hours tutorial at INTERSPEECH 2016 (with Prof. Lin-shan Lee)
  - Slide:

http://speech.ee.ntu.edu.tw/~tlkagk/slide/spoken\_cont ent\_retrieval\_IS16.pdf

- Overview paper
  - Lin-shan Lee, James Glass, Hung-yi Lee, Chun-an Chan, "Spoken Content Retrieval — Beyond Cascading Speech Recognition with Text Retrieval," IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol.23, no.9, pp.1389-1420, Sept. 2015
  - <u>http://speech.ee.ntu.edu.tw/~tlkagk/paper/Overview.p</u>
     <u>df</u>

### **One Slide Summarization**

## Spoken Content Retrieval Speech Recognition + Text Retrieval

### Talk to Humans



### Chat-bot

Sequence-to-sequence learning from human conversation without hand-crafted rules.



On-going project:

- Training by reinforcement learning
- > Training by generative adversarial network (GAN)

### Demo - Towards Characterization

- •作者:王耀賢
- https://github.com/yaushian/simple\_sentiment\_di alogue
- https://github.com/yaushian/personal-dialogue

Jiwei Li, Will Monroe, Tianlin Shi, Sébastien Jean, Alan Ritter, Dan Jurafsky, "Adversarial Learning for Neural Dialogue Generation", arXiv preprint, 2017



Chat-bot with GAN

感謝 段逸林 同學提供實驗結果

### Example Results

input | I love you.

input | Do you like machine learning?

input | I thought I have met you before.

input | Let's go to the party.

input | How do you feel about the president?

### Talk to Humans



### Scenario of Interactive Retrieval



### Deep Reinforcement Learning

- The actions are determined by a neural network
  - Input: information to help to make the decision
  - Output: which action should be taken
    - Taking the action with the highest score





### Deep Reinforcement Learning v.s. Previous Work

• Previous work [Wen & Lee, Interspeech 12][Wen & Lee, ICASSP 13]



### **Experimental Results**

• Different network depth, raw features



### Audio Word to Vector



Why? Need the manual transcriptions of lots of audio to learn. Most languages have little transcribed data.

New Research Direction: Audio Word to Vector

### Audio Word to Vector

Machine represents each audio segment also by a vector



Used in the following spoken language understanding applications

vector



Learn from lots of audio without supervision

[Chung, Wu, Lee, Lee, Interspeech 16)



We use *sequence-to-sequence auto-encoder* here

The training is unsupervised.




### What does machine learn?

• Typical word to vector:

 $V(Rome) - V(Italy) + V(Germany) \approx V(Berlin)$  $V(king) - V(queen) + V(aunt) \approx V(uncle)$ 

Audio word to vector (phonetic information)

$$V((1))) - V((1))) + V((1))) = V((1)))$$

$$GIRL GIRLS GIRLS GIRLS (GIRLS)$$

$$V((1))) - V((1))) + V((1))) = V((1)))$$

#### New Languages



## Audio Word to Vector – Application



Compute similarity between spoken queries and audio files on acoustic level, and find the query term

# Audio Word to Vector – Application

• DTW for query-by-example

Segmental DTW [Zhang, ICASSP 10], Subsequence DTW [Anguera, ICME 13][Calvo, MediaEval 14]



## Audio Word to Vector – Application

Audio archive divided into variablelength audio segments





## Audio Word to Vector –Application

• Query-by-Example Spoken Term Detection



SA: sequence auto-encoder

DSA: de-noising sequence auto-encoder

Input: clean speech + noise

output: clean speech

## Next Step .....

One day we can build all spoken language understanding applications directly from *audio word to vector*.

Audio word to vector with semantics



